STRATEGIC ADVISORY PAPER · NO. 4

The Meter Running in the Dark.

What non-compliance actually costs an Indian company, in the long quiet before any notice.

SATYAMAYA & PARTNERS
ENTERPRISE ADVISORS & CONSULTANTS

NEW DELHI · JUNE 2026 · WWW.SATYAMAYA.COM

On the cost of silence.

Most founders price non-compliance as a gamble. Skip a filing, and either a penalty lands or it does not. Seen that way, the smart move is to bet on the silence, because the silence usually holds.

This paper is about why that bet is mispriced. The cost of a lapse in an Indian company does not wait for a regulator to notice. It begins on the day the obligation is missed and runs continuously after that, whether or not anyone is watching the dial. The penalty, when it finally appears, is not the moment the cost was created. It is only the moment the cost was read aloud.

We have written it in three movements. The first reads the part of the cost that is printed and public, including two filings whose meters have no ceiling at all. The second follows the cost outward, through a set of short and very ordinary situations, to show how a missed form stops being about a form. The third turns to the larger cost that no statute prints and no challan records, the cost of the things a company quietly cannot do while its record is out of order.

A word on the numbers. Every rupee figure here is taken from the published fee structure of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs as it stands in June 2026. Nothing in these pages is an illustrative invention dressed as a fact. Where a cost has no published number, we have described it plainly and left it without one, because a made-up figure would cheapen a real argument.

HOW THIS PAPER IS ORDERED

The bet most founders make.

Ask why a return was filed late and the truthful answer is rarely negligence. It is experience. Last year the deadline slipped and nothing happened. No officer called, no notice arrived, no amount was debited from any account. The lapse was followed by silence, and silence is easy to read as consent.

So the bet forms quietly, without anyone deciding to place it. The filing can wait, because waiting has been free before. What the bet misses is that the consequence of a missed obligation in India is, for the most part, automatic. A due date passes and the additional fee begins by rule, with no human required to start it. A personal compliance lapses and a clock starts running against the director by operation of law, with no hearing to trigger it. The system does not wait to be told that you have defaulted before it begins charging you for the default.

This is the difference between a penalty and a price, and it is worth holding onto for the rest of the paper. A penalty is something imposed on you, which means it can be argued, contested, sometimes forgiven. A price is simply what a thing costs. It does not negotiate and it does not care whether you have noticed it. Non-compliance behaves far more like a price than a penalty. The filing not made, the calendar not kept, the record left to drift: each carries a price that was live the entire time, itemised only later.

What looks like winning the bet, then, is usually just a delay in receiving the invoice. The meter was running the whole time the founder believed it was off. The rest of this paper is an attempt to read the dial.

What the rules already charge.

Begin with what is printed, because even the printed cost tends to surprise the people paying it. Under the fee structure now in force, late filing of most company forms attracts an additional fee on top of the normal one. For two of the most important filings a company makes, that additional fee has no upper limit.

- **The annual filings, at one hundred rupees a day, with no cap.**
  The annual return under Section 92, filed as Form MGT-7, and the financial statements under Section 137, filed as Form AOC-4, each attract an additional fee of one hundred rupees for every day of delay. This has held since the first of July, 2018. There is no ceiling on it. Because a company is required to file both, a single late annual cycle runs two of these meters side by side, which in practice means two hundred rupees for each day that passes, and neither meter stops itself.

- **Most other forms, at a multiplier raised to eighteen times.**
  For a wide range of other forms, the late fee is calculated as a multiple of the normal fee rather than a flat daily rate. With effect from the first of July, 2022, the maximum of that multiplier was lifted from twelve times to eighteen times the normal fee. The penalty was not simply left in place over the years. It was deliberately sharpened.

- **Deposits and other returns, each on a meter of its own.**
  A return such as DPT-3 carries its own per-day additional fee. The point is less the individual rate than the structure: obligations run independent meters. A company behind on several filings is not paying one charge late. It is paying several at once, and not one of them is aware of the others.

Two features of this get missed almost universally. The uncapped daily fee pays no attention to the size of the company; one hundred rupees a day applies whether the authorised capital is one lakh or one hundred crore. And an uncapped daily fee is one of the few costs in commercial life that can, given enough silence, grow larger than the thing it is attached to. What makes it big is not the severity of the lapse. It is time.

A single missed filing, read day by day.

Take the most ordinary lapse there is. A company misses its annual filing cycle and does not come back to it for six months. No fraud, no crisis, simply a year that got away from a busy team. Read the meter on that one event, using nothing but the published daily rate.

ONE LATE ANNUAL CYCLE, AS THE DIAL TURNS

Day one	The meter starts. Nothing is sent, nothing is said, nothing visibly happens.
Month one	A few thousand rupees, accruing on two forms at once, still unread.
Month three	Now a five-figure sum, climbing daily, and still nobody at the company has looked.
Month six	On the published rate, an additional fee in the region of eighteen thousand rupees, before the normal filing fees, and rising every day.
Until filed	No ceiling exists. The only act that stops the meter is the filing the company was avoiding.

Read the quiet part of that table. Not one of those figures needed a regulator to act. They are simply what a published rate does when multiplied by elapsed time. The company that discovers the number at month six did not incur it at month six. It incurred it every day and read it once. The discovery felt like an event, but the only thing that happened that day was that somebody finally looked at the dial.

There is relief, and it is worth knowing about. The Ministry has from time to time notified Condonation of Delay schemes that waive or reduce accumulated additional fees for a limited window. These are genuine and worth using when they appear. They are also occasional, conditional, and entirely outside the company's control. A relief you cannot put in your own calendar is not a plan. It is a rescue, and a company should not build its compliance around the hope of being rescued.

Five ordinary ways it spreads.

If the cost of a lapse stayed inside the additional fee, this would be a budgeting question and not much of a paper. It does not stay there. The system is built so that a failure in one place removes a capability in another, and the cost migrates outward from the form into the company and the people who run it. None of the situations below is dramatic. That is the point. Each is the kind of thing that happens to careful people who were simply busy.

- **The cycle that quietly became two.**
  A company misses one annual filing and resolves to deal with it after the next funding conversation. The conversation takes nine months. By the time anyone returns to the filing, a second cycle has come due and been missed as well. Two meters now run where one ran before, and the work of regularising has roughly doubled, because nothing about a missed obligation gets cheaper by being left alone.

- **The director who was disqualified somewhere else.**
  Sustained failure to file annual returns and financial statements does not stay contained within the defaulting company. Under the disqualification provisions of Section 164(2), continued default can disqualify a director, and disqualification attaches to the person, not the company. A founder can walk into a new board, or a new venture, carrying a disability earned by a company they had half-forgotten.

The same pattern, three more times.

- **The signature that stopped working.**
  A director lets the annual DIR-3 KYC lapse, treating it as personal paperwork of no great urgency. The DIN is deactivated. A deactivated DIN cannot sign company filings, which means a small personal omission has now frozen the company's ability to file anything at all. Every other meter already running continues to run, and the company cannot even act to stop them until the signature is restored.
- **The charge that was repaid but never released.**
  A loan is taken, a charge is registered, the loan is repaid years later. Everyone moves on. Nobody files the satisfaction of charge, so the company's own index of charges still shows the debt as live. The cost of this is zero, right up until a lender or a buyer reads the register and asks why a settled loan still encumbers the company, and now the burden of proving a negative falls on the founder.
- **The name that drifted toward strike-off.**
  A company goes quiet. Operations slow, filings stop, and after long enough the Registrar moves toward striking the name off the register. Revival is possible, through the Tribunal and a sequence of restoration filings, but it is slow, public and far more expensive than the filings that would have prevented it. It is the clearest version of the theme: the cheapest moment to have acted was years and several meters ago.

Across all five, the shape is identical even though the facts differ. A small obligation is missed because it looked small. Its consequence refuses to stay small, because the consequence was never really about the form. It was about what the form was holding in place.

The cost that prints no challan.

Everything to this point has been the visible meter, the one with rates and receipts. It is the smaller of the two. The larger cost of non-compliance never appears on any government document, because it is not a fee at all. It is the set of things a company silently cannot do while its record is out of order, each one foreclosed without a line item, each one paid for without an invoice ever being raised.

Consider the most expensive of them in turn, not as a list to be totted up, but as a set of doors that close one by one while the founder believes the building is still fully open.

- **The deal that reprices, or simply does not close.**
  Investors, acquirers and lenders read the record before they read the pitch. A backlog of filings, a deactivated DIN, an unreleased charge: each becomes a reason to adjust the price downward, to demand an indemnity, or to walk away without a counter-offer. A lapse that cost a five-figure fee can quietly cost a seven-figure outcome at the table, and the connection between the two is invisible to everyone except the person who knew the filing was overdue.
- **The credit that is declined without a reason.**
  Banks and serious counterparties run diligence of their own. A company that cannot show a clean filing history is often not refused with an explanation. It is simply not advanced, not shortlisted, not chosen, and never told why. The cost here is a door that never visibly opened, which is the hardest kind of cost to notice and therefore the easiest to keep paying.

Two more doors, and the reckoning.

- **The hours that leave and do not come back.**
  Every reconstruction of a neglected record is paid in the one currency a founder can never replenish, which is attention. The weeks spent assembling old papers, drafting explanations and chasing condonation are weeks taken directly from the business itself. This cost is invisible precisely because it is paid in time rather than money, and time leaves no challan behind to remind anyone what it cost.
- **The personal shield that was never automatic.**
  Limited liability is a condition, not a gift, and the record is part of what keeps the condition satisfied. Officer-in-default provisions, personal liability for certain statutory dues, and disqualification all sit waiting behind a neglected record. The protection most founders assume runs in the background is in fact maintained by hand, one filing at a time, and it thins quietly as the filings are missed.

Why this meter is the larger one.

Set the two meters beside each other and the proportion turns over. The printed fees, uncapped as some of them are, usually form the smallest line on the true bill. The deals that repriced, the credit never extended, the founder's hours poured into repair, the personal exposure that crept back in: this is where non-compliance does the bulk of its spending. It is dark only in the sense that nobody mails an invoice for it. The company pays it just the same, and usually pays far more of it.

The cruelty of the arrangement is that the dark meter runs fastest exactly when the company can least afford it, in the months before a raise, a sale or a loan, when the record is suddenly being read by someone with the power to act on what they find.

Seven operating principles.

None of this calls for fear, and certainly not for a framework with a clever name. It calls for a small number of habits held steadily over time. What follows is not a checklist to be completed once and filed. It is a set of operating principles, each one a way of keeping a meter from running in the dark. They are written for the founder, not the professional, because the founder is the person who carries the cost when they are ignored.

1 **Treat every deadline as a price, not a risk.**
A risk might not occur. A price is already set. The moment a due date is in view, the only useful question is not whether you will be caught, but what the delay will cost you, because the delay is already costing you something.

2 **Put the recurring obligations on a calendar that outlives memory.**
The annual return, the financial statements, the director KYC, the deposit return, the tax and GST dates: none of these is a surprise. They fall on the same dates every year. A company that treats them as surprises does not have a compliance problem so much as a memory problem, and memory is the wrong place to store a legal obligation.

Principles, continued.

3 **Give every obligation a named owner.**
Not a department, not a vague understanding that the auditor handles it, but one person who would answer if you asked who is responsible for a particular filing. Obligations that belong to everyone are watched by no one, and the meters that run longest are almost always the ones nobody believed were theirs.

4 **Keep the proof as events happen, not when they are questioned.**
Minutes written when the meeting occurs, registers updated when the event occurs, approvals dated before the transaction they approve. A record built in real time can defend itself. A record assembled after a question has been asked spends its life under suspicion, however honest it actually is.

5 **Read your own dials before anyone else does.**
Pull the company's master data from the public record once a quarter and look at it as a stranger would. The cost of doing this is an afternoon. The cost of not doing it is discovering, at the worst possible moment, what a stranger already saw. Verification you perform on yourself is the cheapest diligence you will ever buy.

The last two, and the turn.

6 **When you find a lapse, disclose it on your own terms.**
A defect you raise yourself, with context and a date, is a line item a reader can accept. The same defect uncovered by someone else reads as something hidden, whatever the truth of it was. The difference between the two is rarely the defect. It is who spoke about it first.

7 **Never buy quiet with backdating.**
Of all the responses to a discovered lapse, the most expensive over time is to paper it as though it had been done on time. Honest late recording is a compliance matter with a known price. Backdating converts it into a credibility matter, and credibility has no published fee schedule. It simply has consequences, and they do not expire.

Held together, these seven do something simple. They keep the company's two faces, the one on the record and the one in the room, pointed in the same direction, so that nothing accrues in the dark. The work is unglamorous and largely invisible, which is exactly why it is so often deferred, and exactly why deferring it is so reliably expensive.

CLOSING NOTE

The company that feels calmest.

It is worth ending on an uncomfortable observation. The company that feels most relaxed about compliance is very often the one with the most meters running unread. Calm is not evidence that nothing is accruing. Sometimes it is only evidence that nobody has looked.

Non-compliance is costly for one reason above all others: it does not announce itself. By the time it is loud enough to demand attention, it has usually been expensive and quiet for a long while. The founders who end up paying the least for compliance are not the lucky ones who were never checked. They are the ones who decided to read their own dials early, and kept reading them, long before anyone with the power to act came asking.

The meter is always running. The only real choice a founder has is whether to keep the light on over it. Everything in this paper reduces to that single decision, made not once but on a schedule, in the quiet, before there is any notice to force the issue.

ABOUT THE FIRM

Satyamaya & Partners LLP is a founder-led advisory firm in New Delhi (LLPIN: ACX-1582), serving Indian founders and promoters as enterprise advisors and consultants across compliance, corporate governance and the corporate record. Where the law requires certification or attestation, execution is carried out by qualified professionals associated with the firm.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Mayank Vashishta is the Founder and Principal Advisor of Satyamaya & Partners. He is the author of “Who Really Owns Your Business?” and writes daily on governance, compliance and founder risk for an audience of founders and professionals.

CONTACT

Speak to the firm.

If anything in this paper raised a question about your own company, the most useful next step is small: read your own dials. We are glad to help you do that, or to do it with you.

SATYAMAYA & PARTNERS
ENTERPRISE ADVISORS & CONSULTANTS

OFFICE@SATYAMAYA.COM · +91 728 988 1956

WWW.SATYAMAYA.COM · NEW DELHI, INDIA

Disclaimer: this paper is advisory commentary for general information. It is not legal, tax or investment advice, and it does not constitute professional certification services. All fee figures reflect the published MCA fee structure as on June 2026 and are subject to change; confirm current rates, and the availability of any condonation scheme, before acting.